| 1 | STEPHANIE M. HINDS (CABN 149604) United States Attorney | | |
|--------|--|--|--|
| 2 3 | THOMAS A. COLTHURST (CABN 99493) Chief, Criminal Division | | |
| 4 | ANDREW F. DAWSON (CABN 264421) ROSS WEINGARTEN (NYBN 5236401) | | |
| 5 | Assistant United States Attorneys | | |
| 6 | 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 | | |
| 7 8 | Telephone: (415) 436-7200 andrew.dawson@usdoj.gov | | |
| 9 | Attorneys for United States of America | | |
| | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 10 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 11 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) CASE NO. 20-CR-00249 RS | |
| 14 | Plaintiff, |) [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) FROM AUGUST 30, 2022 TO FEBRUARY, 14,) 2023 UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT) | |
| 15 | v. | | |
| 16 | ROWLAND MARCUS ANDRADE, | | |
| 17 | Defendant. | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | On August 30, 2022, the defendant Roland Marcus Andrade, represented by counsel, and the | | |
| 21 | United States, through counsel, appeared before the Court for a status conference in the above pending | | |
| 22 | criminal action. The Court set a further status conference on November 15, 2022. The parties agreed | | |
| 23 | that an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act was appropriate from August 30, 2022 to | | |
| 24 | November 15, 2022. | | |
| 25 | On November 15, 2022, the parties appeared again for a status conference in the above pending | | |
| 26 | proceeding. The Court set a further status conference on February 14, 2023, at 2:30pm. The parties | | |
| 27 | agreed that an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act was appropriate from November 15, 2022 to | | |
| 28 | February 14, 2023. | | |
| | [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 20-CR-00249 RS | 1 | |

Case 3:20-cr-00249-RS Document 117 Filed 11/28/22 Page 2 of 2

| 1 | Pursuant to the agreement of the parties, and for good cause, the Court finds it is appropriate to |
|----|--|
| 2 | exclude time from the computation of the Speedy Trial Act deadlines. Based on the representations of |
| 3 | counsel at the status conferences regarding ongoing discovery productions and anticipated motion |
| 4 | practice, the Court finds that an exclusion of time is necessary to permit effective preparation of counsel. |
| 5 | Failure to grant an exclusion of time and a continuance of the matter would deny counsel the reasonable |
| 6 | time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, pursuant to 18 |
| 7 | U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time |
| 8 | from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant |
| 9 | in a speedy trial. |
| 10 | Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between and including August 30, 2022 to |
| 11 | February 14, 2023 shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to 18 |
| 12 | U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(ii), and (B)(iv). |
| 13 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | DATED: HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG |
| 17 | United States District Judge |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |